

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as

first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

22313-1450

Patent Application

Applicant(s): D. Bhattacharya et al.

Case:

3-5-8-1-25

Serial No.:

10/768,771

Filing Date:

January 30, 2004

Group:

2832

Examiner:

Karl D. Easthom

Title:

Improved Semiconductor Resistor

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is the following document relating to the above-identified patent application:

(1) Response to Restriction Requirement.

There is no additional fee due in conjunction with the response. In the event of any nonpayment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or to credit Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0762 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 15, 2004

Joseph B. Ryan

Reg. No. 37,922

Attorney for Applicant(s) Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-7517

Date: November 15, 2004

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as

first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

22313-1450.

t Application

Applicant(s): D. Bhattacharya et al.

Case:

3-5-8-1-25

Serial No.:

10/768,771

Filing Date:

January 30, 2004

Group:

2832

Examiner:

Karl D. Easthom

Title:

Improved Semiconductor Resistor

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In an Office Action dated October 13, 2004, the Examiner required restriction of claims 1-20 to one of the following two groups of claims: (i) claims 1-17 (Group I); and (ii) claims 18-20 (Group II). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the requirement for restriction for at least the reasons given below.

Applicants submit that the apparatus set forth in independent claims 1 and 13 and the method set forth in independent claim 18 are of such similar character as to essentially eliminate the necessity for a separate search and/or classification. Accordingly, Applicants assert that, in the interest of conservation of resources for the Patent Office as well as the Applicant, the claims of Group I and Group II should be considered together. Accordingly, withdrawal of the restriction requirement in the present application is respectfully solicited.

Notwithstanding the above traversal, Applicants hereby provisionally elect the claims of Group I, namely, claims 1-17, for prosecution on the merits.

It is believed that there is no fee due in conjunction with the present response. In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0762 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 15, 2004

Joseph B. Ryan

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 37,922

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-7517